



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Dacryopsis Ellisiana Massee

BY E. J. DURAND

In a note with the above title in the BULLETIN for September, Mr. George Massee calls attention to my error * in considering *Coryne Ellisii* Berk. to be the same as *Graphium giganteum* (Peck) Sacc. Mr. Massee's point is well taken, and I hasten to acknowledge my mistake, as well as to thank him for pointing it out. In July Mr. Massee wrote me regarding this point, and very kindly enclosed a fragment of the type of *Coryne Ellisii*. This specimen which I have just had opportunity to examine has convinced me that my conclusion was wrong.

Mr. Massee says that he cannot say why I made such a mistake. I will try to explain. I had access to two specimens which I supposed to be authentic. In the first place, the original specimens of *Coryne Ellisii* were collected and sent to Berkeley by Ellis. I assumed, therefore, that the specimens in the N. A. F. 1383 had been compared with duplicates of the type. In the second place, I have in my collection a specimen called *Graphium giganteum* from Professor Burt, which bears on the label the following note: "*Dacryopsis Ellisiana* (Berk.) Massee. A duplicate from the collection authenticated as *D. Ellisiana* by Massee." Both of these specimens are *Graphium giganteum* (Peck) Sacc., with no indications of basidia.

In the light of these specimens I think it only natural that both Professor Burt and myself should conclude that *Dacryopsis Ellisiana* (*Coryne Ellisii* Berk.) and *Graphium giganteum* (Peck) Sacc. were the same.

* Bull. Torr. Club, 28: 349-355. Je. 1901.